



ALL INDIA BHARAT SANCHAR NIGAM LIMITED RETIRED EXECUTIVES' ASSOCIATION

Central Headquarters

Registered under Societies Registration Act XXI of 1860 vide Govt. of NCT Delhi No. S/RS/SW/1161/2014
[Registered under Pensioners Portal vide DoP&PW letter No. 4(4)/2021-P&PW(H)7311 dated 04.01.2024]
Flat No.6, Second Floor, 10/41, Sowrashtranagar 7th Cross Street, Choolaimedu, Chennai – 600094

Email: gensecaibsnlrea@yahoo.com

Website: <https://www.aibsnlrea.org>

President

V. Chinnappiah
(M) 9444003300

General Secretary

R.R.Balasubramanian
(M) 9486100613

Financial Secretary

Awadesh Sharma
(M) 9968630008

No.AIBSNLREA/CHQ/2026/52

Dated: 10th September 2026

To
Shri Amit Agrawal,
Secretary (Telecom),
Department of Telecommunications,
Sanchar Bhawan,
New Delhi – 110001

Sub: Request for an appointment to explain the grievance of Shri H.S. Sutaria and to seek review of the earlier decision denying approval for Presidential Order for absorption in BSNL

Sir,

We respectfully seek a few minutes of your time at the earliest to personally explain the long-pending and extremely distressing grievance of Shri H.S. Sutaria, Retd. JTO, Gujarat Telecom Circle, whose case has been placed before your kind office through our detailed representation dated 13.07.2026 (copy enclosed).

2. Shri Sutaria, now 75 years old, has been undergoing prolonged hardship and emotional suffering for nearly fifteen years without regular pension and other pensionary benefits. His retired life has been marked by uncertainty, financial strain, and deep mental anguish, despite the fact that he was acquitted by the Hon'ble District & Sessions Court, Surat on 31.05.2010. The criminal appeal filed thereafter has remained pending for more than sixteen years, without any stay on the acquittal. As a result, the trial court's order continues to remain fully operative.

3. In spite of this, the proposal for issuance of the Presidential Order for his absorption in BSNL has reportedly been declined, causing renewed distress to the pensioner. We understand that the decision is stated to be based on a recent Supreme Court judgment, but we respectfully submit that the factual and legal context of that judgment is entirely unrelated to the circumstances of Shri Sutaria's case. As explained in our letter, the Supreme Court was dealing with a situation where judicial proceedings were pending at the time of retirement, whereas in Shri Sutaria's case, no judicial or disciplinary proceeding was pending on the date of his retirement, and his acquittal has never been stayed.

4. Further, the Department of Pension & Pensioners' Welfare, (DoT's own communication dated 23.10.2019) has clearly advised that judicial proceedings should be treated as concluded upon acquittal, notwithstanding the pendency of an appeal, unless the acquittal is stayed. This position has also been followed in two precedent cases—Shri Ram Autar Gautam and Shri Surender Mohan Taneja—where Presidential Orders were issued subject to the outcome of the appeal.

5. In view of these facts, rules, and precedents, we earnestly request an opportunity to meet you in person to briefly explain the matter and place the correct factual position before you. A short meeting will greatly help in ensuring that the case is reviewed in the right perspective, enabling long-overdue relief to a senior pensioner who has suffered far too long.

6. We shall be grateful if an appointment could kindly be granted at the earliest.

With kind regards,

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'R.R. Balasubramanian', followed by the date '10/9/26' written below it.

(R.R.Balasubramanian)

General Secretary

Encl: As stated